

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/505,775	02/17/2000	Kenji Oi	1076.1053/JDH	6984	
21171 75	90 10/11/2005		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			SEFCHECK, C	SEFCHECK, GREGORY B	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2662		
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/200	DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/505,775	OI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gregory B. Sefcheck	2662		

Detert and thing or an explorar and	Examiner	Artonit					
	Gregory B. Sefcheck	2662					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL A brief in com	nliance with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month	as of the date of				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contribution. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducina or simplifyina	the issues for				
appeal; and/or	, то терроот ву телени, те	gp,g					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	-	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>5,9,15 and 18-22</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-8,10-14,16,17 and 23-26</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a a Natice of Annual but prior to the	date of filing a brief	will not be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1/49) Paper N	Jo(e)					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
. Es outer see outernation of the see		8088	5				
		JOHN PEZZLO					
	P	RIMARY EXAMINE	R				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not convincing. The Examiner has reviewed all of the record, particularly the motivation to combine Limb and Tateyama in the rejection of independent claims 1, 10, 12, 16, 23, and 24, and believes the rejections of claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-14, 16, 17, and 23-26 are proper..

Continuation of 13. Other: Continuation of 7. The claim amendments filed 9/30/2005 simplify issues for appeal by overcoming the previous objection to claim 4 and making an improvement in the reading of claim 10. The amendments do not require any further explanation of how the amended claims are rejected. The Final Rejection filed 5/31/2005 still applies for all rejected claims.